

Appendix 6

Summary of Participant Evaluations

USAID SANITATION CONSULTATION JUNE 19-20, 2008

Evaluations Results

Using a scale from one to five, 12 participants rated the degree to which the Sanitation Consultation met the objectives:

- 1 = the outcome was not achieved
- 5 = the outcome was successfully achieved

Objectives: By the end of this Sanitation Consultation, participants will:

- **Identify USAID's comparative advantages and opportunities in community and household sanitation programming for improved health.**

4

- **Describe specific program approaches that USAID should take to increase access to and use of basic sanitation over the next 3-5 years.**

3.5

- **Agree on next steps that will help USAID incorporate best sanitation practices into ongoing or new programs.**

4

Overall Rating for Objectives Met: 4

Which session(s) did you find most useful? Why?

- Information experts; small group Thursday (second one)
- Panel: WB/UNICEF/WSP
- Presentations by outside organizations (WSP, WSSCC, UNICEF)
- External panel and discussion
- External Views & suggestions; good to know we are on the right track w/existing...
- All sessions relevant and well-planned
- Day 2
- External Speakers
- The final session – more concrete plan of action
- External scene setting, 2) 2nd day morning session
- Voting on 2nd day and mapping out top 6 WHATS

Which session(s) could have been more useful? What would have made them more useful for you?

- Friday morning – but unfair comment because only way it could have been improved was if lists were organized differently.
- The breakout session on day 1 was a bit frustrating. It may have been more useful if the task was more limited or focused.
- If you could have distilled the “whats” a little better to jumpstart day 2 – I know it is difficult when flipcharts don’t have the detail
- Very ambitious agenda for 1.5 days
- Having a map/matrix of existing sanitation programs + strengths / weaknesses will be helpful
- Give more time to outside organizations to present their ideas
- The strategizing meeting on 1st day p.m. The structure was odd – but the ends were achieved

What specific follow-up do you recommend after this Sanitation Consultation?

- Communicate results to key missions, who are engaged / interested in sanitation
- GH needs to articulate the agenda to top right 1st in GH, then AID and gain \$ to implement
- Disseminate report, continued consultation with outside organizations + mission staff.
- SHIT taking on task of detailing sanitation strategy. The HOWS
- Develop guidelines for missions
- Follow through vigorously on next steps
- Get strategic plan going
- Meet to discuss outcomes of this report
- The San. Working Group is a great way to move forward.

What follow-up action(s) do you plan to take based on your participation in the Consultation?

- Share results with USAID mission + WSP + Dutch embassy (which are our main sanitation partners)
- Read & track output’s impl. – particularly in Working Group
- Share outputs with RDMA + USAID/Philippines
- Participate in consultative group
- Determine who in DOS should be in working group; develop message for embassies
- Participate in the SHITeam!
- Respond with specific comments on how IRC may help in taking forward some of the outcomes
- SHITeam

ADDITIONAL COMMENTS:

- a. **Sanitation Consultation design and content**
 - Fine

- Good (4)
- Found it difficult to re-engage on day 2 because lists were not well sorted... v. much output & brainstorming from previous day
- Well planned, well run (good food and enough coffee, too!)
- There should be a little more instruction on how table dynamics should take place. Some individuals controlled conversations.
- More structure in activities.

b. Sanitation Consultation background paper and supporting materials

- Very good
- Good/Great
- Good, but under-used within the meeting
- Very good
- Helpful (2)
- Good – matrix of existing activities would have been helpful
- V. useful

c. Presenters/Facilitator

- Kathy's great, as usual
- Great (2)
- Excellent (arrow towards facilitator)
- Excellent (2)
- Awesome
- Very good specially (facilitator)
- Good
- Well chosen – no ... (doubt?)

d. Logistical support and facilities

- Liked Day 1 room better / Where were the cocktails & canape's?
- Great (2)
- Excellent (4)
- Good (2)
- Very good

e. Other comments?

- Wash you hands!
- Thanks for inviting people from the field + outside organizations
- Thank you for inviting me
- Please invite me again next year...